arXiv:1512.00608v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2015

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN FORMAL GRADE AND DEPTH WITH A VIEW TOWARD VANISHING OF LYUBEZNIK NUMBERS

KH. AHMADI-AMOLI , E. BANISAEED , M. EGHBALI , AND F. RAHMATI

Abstract. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and I an ideal. The aim of the present paper is twofold. At first we continue the investigation to compare $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R)$ with $\operatorname{depth} R/I$ and further we derive some results on the vanishing of Lyubeznik numbers.

1. Introduction

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring and I be an ideal of R. For an R-module M, we denote by $H^i_I(M)$ the ith local cohomology module of M with respect to I. For more details the reader may consult [4].

Consider the family of local cohomology modules $\{H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^nM)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For every n there is a natural homomorphism $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^{n+1}M)\to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^nM)$ such that the family forms a projective system. The projective limit $\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^nM)$ is called the ith formal local cohomology of M with respect to I (cf. [25]). For more information on this topic we refer the reader to [25], [3] and [10]. In the case $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a Gorenstein local ring Peskine and Szpioro [24] proved the isomorphism

$$H^i_p(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})\cong\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n),$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ is the formal completion of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ along $\operatorname{Spec} R/I$ and p a closed point.

The formal grade, $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,M)$, is defined as the index of the minimal nonvanishing formal cohomology module, i.e., $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,M)=\inf\{i\in\mathbb{Z}|\ \varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^nM)\neq 0\}$.

The concept of formal grade can be used to investigate vanishing conditions of local cohomology modules. One way to check out vanishing of local cohomology modules is the following duality

$$\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n)\cong\operatorname{Hom}_R(H^{\dim R-i}_I(R),E(R/\mathfrak{m})), \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 14C14.

Key words and phrases. Local cohomology, Formal grade, Depth, Lyubeznik numbers.

The third named author's research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94130017)

.

where $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Gorenstein local ring and $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ denotes the injective hull of the residue field (cf. [25, Remark 3.6]).

In the case that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring containing a field k the following consideration of formal grade have been given:

(1) If $k = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) \leq$ de Rham depth of $\operatorname{Spec} R/I$, (cf. [23, Theorem 2.8]).
(2) If k is of positive characteristic and R is F-finite, then $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) =$ Frobenius depth of R/I, (cf. [12, Corollary 3.9]).

From the other viewpoint, the computation of $\operatorname{depth}(R/I^n)$, even for small values of n, can be very difficult. So, determining of $\min_n \operatorname{depth}(R/I^n)$ in most of the cases is abortive. A natural upper bound for the $\min_n \operatorname{depth}(R/I^n)$ is the $\operatorname{depth} R/I$. In an attempt to find a suitable (homological) upper bound for the $\min_n \operatorname{depth}(R/I^n)$, the third named author in [11], examined the formal grade of I, $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R)$ and gave concrete examples for the equality $\min_n \operatorname{depth}(R/I^n) = \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R)$. If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring, in the light of equality (1.1) and [25, Lemma 4.8] one has

$$\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \operatorname{pd} R/I = \operatorname{cd}(R, I).$$

We let $\operatorname{pd}(-)$ resp. $\operatorname{cd}(-,-)$ to be the projective dimension resp. cohomological dimension (cf. Section 2).

The relation between $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R)$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/I$ is not clear in general. It is known that $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) \leq \dim R/I$, (cf. [25, Lemma 4.8]). The equality $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I$ is achieved in the following cases:

- R is a regular local ring of positive characteristic and R/I is Cohen-Macaulay, (cf. [11, Remark 3.1]).
- $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ and I is a squarefree monomial ideal, (cf. [11, Corollary 4.2]).

The equality $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I$ is not the case for non Cohen-Macaulay ideals in general. Let $I = (x_0x_3 - x_1x_2, x_1^3 - x_0^2x_3, x_2^3 - x_1x_3^2) \subset R = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the defining ideal of the twisted quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Using Mayer-Vietoris sequence one can see that $\operatorname{depth} R/I = 1$ and $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = 2$.

We conclude this introduction by giving a brief preview of what is to come. Our main contribution in Section 2, is to further investigate on the equality between $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R)$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/I$. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *(cf. Theorem 2.4) Suppose that I is a equimultiple ideal of a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ containing a field of characteristic zero. Then,*

$$\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I^n = \operatorname{depth} R/I^{(n)}, \quad \textit{for all } n > 0,$$

where $I^{(n)}$ denote the nth symbolic power of I.

As a consequence of the relationship between $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R)$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/I$, in Section 3, we derive some results on the vanishing of Lyubeznik numbers (cf. Section 3 for the definitions). Lyubeznik numbers carry some geometrical and topological information as indicated in [20]. For more applications of these invariants we refer the reader to [14], [6], [21], [5], [28] and [2].

In [26] Varbaro raised the following question:

Question 1.2. Suppose that R is a regular local ring containing a field and I an ideal. For all $j < \operatorname{depth} R/I$ and $i \geq j-2$ is it true that the Lyubeznik numbers $\lambda_{i,j}(R/I) = 0$?

We give some partial positive answers to the aforementioned question as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *(cf. Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6) Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let I be an ideal of R. Assume that one of the following statements holds.*

1. *Suppose that projective dimension of R/I is finite and $\varphi : R \to R$ is a ring endomomorphism satisfying going down property such that $\{\varphi^t(I)R\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a decreasing chain of ideals cofinal with $\{I^t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/I = r$.*
2. *Let R be a regular complete ring containing a field and let I be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of R which is generically complete intersection. Further assume that $\operatorname{ht}(I) = l(I) - 1$ and J is a proper minimal reduction of I with $\operatorname{depth} R/J = r$.*

Then we have

$$\lambda_{i-t,i}(R/I) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i < r \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq i.$$

It is noteworthy to mention that in the case R is essentially of finite type the Question 1.2 has been proved in [9].

2. Formal grade and depth

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring and I be an ideal of R. In this section we will further investigate on the relationship between $\operatorname{depth} R/I$ and $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R)$. First of all we review some notions and results of formal grade and related topics.

(2-1) $\dim M/IM = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} | \varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/I^nM) \neq 0\}$, (cf. [25, Theorem 4.5]).
(2-2) $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,M) \leq \min\{\operatorname{depth}_R M, \dim M/IM\}$, (cf. [25, Lemma 4.8]).
(2-3) Suppose that R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Then $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R) + \operatorname{cd}(R,I) = \dim R$, (cf. [3]), where $\operatorname{cd}(R,I) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H^i_I(R) \neq 0\}$.
(2-4) $\min_n \operatorname{depth} R/I^n \leq \operatorname{fgrade}(I,R)$, (cf. [11, Proposition 2.2]).

An ideal I is called cohomologically complete intersection if $\operatorname{ht}(I) = \operatorname{cd}(R,I)$, (cf. [15]). Suppose the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite. We denote by $l(I)$ the Krull

dimension of $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}(I^n/I^n\mathfrak{m})$, called the analytic spread of I. It is known that $\mathrm{ht}(I) \leq l(I) \leq \mu(I)$, where $\mu(I)$ is the minimal number of generators of I. The ideal I is called equimultiple if $\mathrm{ht}(I) = l(I)$. There are large classes of such ideals, for instance, every $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I is equimultiple (cf. [22, Theorem 1, page 154]).

Remark 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and I be a non Cohen-Macaulay cohomologically complete intersection ideal. Then

(a) $\operatorname{depth} R/I \leq \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) - 1$.
(b) If $l(I) - \mathrm{ht}(I) = 1$, $\mathrm{ht}(I) \geq 1$ and the graded associated ring $gr_I(R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\operatorname{depth} R/I \geq \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) - 1$.

Proof. (a) As I is a cohomologically complete intersection ideal which is not Cohen-Macaulay, $\operatorname{depth} R/I \leq \dim R - \mathrm{cd}(I, R) - 1$. The later is nothing but $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) - 1$, by the equality (2-3) above.

(b) Since $gr_I(R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by [13, Proposition 3.3] we have $l(I) = \dim R - \min \operatorname{depth} R/I^n$. Now the assertion follows by the following inequality

$$1 \geq \dim R - \operatorname{depth} R/I - \mathrm{cd}(R, I) = \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) - \operatorname{depth} R/I.$$

□

An ideal $J \subset I$ is a reduction of I if $JI^r = I^{r+1}$ for some integer r. The least such integer is the reduction number of I with respect to J.

Remark 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. Assume that I is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal with $l(I) - \mathrm{ht}(I) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ht}(I) \geq 1$. Further assume that the reduction number of I is 1. Then

$$\operatorname{depth} R/I \geq \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) \geq \operatorname{depth} R/I - 1.$$

Proof. By [27, Corollary 5.3.7], $gr_I(R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Once again using [13, Proposition 3.3], the claim is clear. □

As hinted at in the introduction, for a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ containing a field of positive characteristic, $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I$, whenever I is a Cohen-Macaualy ideal ([11, Remark 3.1]). The following result (Theorem 2.4) can be thought of as its analogue in characteristic zero.

Definition 2.3. Let R be a reduced ring and I be an ideal. If $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are minimal primes of I, we set $S := R \setminus (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathfrak{p}_n)$ a multiplicatively closed subset of R. The nth symbolic power of I with respect to S is defined as $I^{(n)} = I^n(S^{-1}R) \cap R$.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that I is a equimultiple ideal of a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ containing a field of characteristic zero. Then,*

$$\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I^n = \operatorname{depth} R/I^{(n)}, \quad \textit{for all } n > 0.$$

Proof. Since $l(\operatorname{rad}(I)) = l(I)$, $\operatorname{fgrade}(\operatorname{rad}(I), R) = \operatorname{fgrade}(I, R)$, $\operatorname{depth} R/(\operatorname{rad}(I))^n = \operatorname{depth} R/I^n$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/(\operatorname{rad}(I))^{(n)} = \operatorname{depth} R/I^{(n)}$, then we may assume that I is a radical ideal. On the other hand, it is known that $l(I) = l(I\hat{R})$ and since R is regular, for all integer n one has $\hat{I}^{(n)} = I^{(n)}\hat{R}$ (see for instance [16, page 14]) where $\hat{R}$ is the completion of R with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, depth and formal grade are stable under completion, (cf. [25, Proposition 3.3]). Thus, by passing to the completion we may assume that R is a complete regular local ring containing a field of characteristic zero. Hence, R contains a field of characteristic zero that maps onto its residue field. It implies that $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite. Now, by our assumptions, the celebrated result of Cowsik and Nori [8], implies that I is a complete intersection ideal. Thus, R/I^n is Cohen-Macaulay for all $n > 0$, then so is $R/I^{(n)}$ for all $n > 0$, by [19, Corollary 3.8]. Hence, $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n) = 0$ for all $i < \operatorname{depth} R/I^n = \dim R/I$. On the other hand, one has $\varprojlim_n H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n) = 0$ for all $i < \dim R/I$. Accordingly, by virtue of equality (2-1) we get

$$\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = \operatorname{depth} R/I^n = \operatorname{depth} R/I^{(n)}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

□

In the Theorem 2.4 we can not remove the assumption $\operatorname{ht}(I) = l(I)$. Consider the following example.

Example 2.5. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]_{(x,y,z,w)}$ and $I = (x, y) \cap (z, w)$ be an ideal of R. We have $\operatorname{ht}(I) = 2$, $l(I) = 3$, where I is a radical ideal. On the other hand, $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = 2$ and $\dim R/I = 2$ but for all positive integers n, one has $I^n = (x, y)^n \cap (z, w)^n$. Hence, $\operatorname{depth} R/I^n = 1$ for all $n > 0$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of positive dimension and I a non-Cohen-Macaulay ideal of R. If* $\operatorname{depth} R/I > 0$ *then* $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) > 0$.

Proof. Since depth and formal grade are not effected under completion, without loss of generality we may assume that R is a complete local ring.

In the contrary, suppose that $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) = 0$. Thus, $\varprojlim_n H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n) \neq 0$. By virtue of [25, Lemma 4.1], there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} R$ such that $I + \mathfrak{p}$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. So, by The Independence Theorem, one has

$$H^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(R/I) \cong H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \cong \bigcup_t (I :_R \mathfrak{p}^t), \tag{2.1}$$

where the later module is zero by the assumption. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} R$, there exists a non zero element $x \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ann}(xR)$. It yields that $0 \neq x \in \bigcup_t (I :_R \mathfrak{p}^t)$, contradicts to the vanishing in (2.1). □

Remark 2.7. The previous result is not true for Cohen-Macaulay ideals:

Put $R = k[[x, y, z]]/(xy, xz)$ and $I = (x, y)R$. Note that $(y, z)R \in \operatorname{Ass} R$, $(y, z)R + I = (x, y, z)R$. Hence, $\varprojlim_n H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^n) \neq 0$ but $\operatorname{depth} R/I = 1$.

Discussion 2.8. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I an ideal of R. If $\operatorname{depth} R/I > t > 1$ is it true that $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) > t$? To answer this question in special cases if either I is a cohomologically complete intersection ideal or I is a non-Cohen-Macaulay ideal with $\operatorname{ht}(I) = \operatorname{cd}(R, I) - 1$, by virtue of (2-3), one has

$$\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) \geq \operatorname{depth} R/I.$$

It should be mentioned that for a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ containing a field, it has been proved in [26, Proposition 3.1] that if $\operatorname{depth}(R/I) > 1$, then $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, R) > 1$.

3. Lyubeznik numbers

Let R be a local ring which admits a surjection from an n-dimensional regular local ring S containing a field. Let I be the kernel of the surjection, and $k = S/\mathfrak{m}$. Lyubeznik [20] proved that the Bass numbers $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(k, H^{n-j}_I(S))$, known as Lyubeznik numbers of R, depend only on R, i and j, but neither on S nor on the surjection $S \to R$. Note that, in the light of [17] and [20] these Bass numbers are all finite.

Put $d = \dim R$, Lyubeznik numbers satisfy the following properties:

(a) $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = 0$ for $j > d$ or $i > j$.
(b) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) \neq 0$.
(c) If R is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ (cf. [18]).
(d) Euler characteristic (cf. [1]):

$$\sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq d} (-1)^{i-j} \lambda_{i,j}(R) = 1.$$

Therefore, we can record all nonzero Lyubeznik numbers in the so-called Lyubeznik table:

$$\Lambda(R) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,0} & \lambda_{0,1} & \dots & \lambda_{0,d-1} & \lambda_{0,d} \\ 0 & \lambda_{1,1} & \dots & \lambda_{1,d-1} & \lambda_{1,d} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{d-1,d-1} & \lambda_{d-1,d} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_{d,d} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_{i,j} := \lambda_{i,j}(R)$ for every $0 \leq i, j \leq d$.

Proposition 3.1. *(*[26, Corollary 3.3]*) Let R be as above. If* $\operatorname{depth}(R) = r$*, then*

$$\lambda_{i-1,i}(R) = \lambda_{i,i}(R) = 0$$

for all $0 \leq i < r$.

In this section, in order to give a positive answer to the Question 1.2 we try to give a slight extension of the Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring admits an epimorphism from a regular local ring $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ which is containing a field and let I be its kernel. Assume that* $\operatorname{fgrade}(I, S) \geq \operatorname{depth} S/I = r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$\lambda_{i-t,i}(R) = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 \leq i < r \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 \leq t \leq i.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the equality (1.1) mentioned in the Introduction. □

As a byproduct of the Lemma 3.2, we may prove the Theorem 3.5. Before it we need a lemma pointed out to the authors by Matteo Varbaro. It provides a slight generalization of our earlier result. Let us recall that for two rings R and S, a ring map $\varphi : R \to S$ has the going-down property if, for any two primes $\mathfrak{p}' \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ of R and any prime $\mathcal{P}$ in S with $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathfrak{p}$, there is a prime $\mathcal{P}' \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$ of S such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}') = \mathfrak{p}'$.

Remark 3.3. Notice that every flat homomorphism implies the going down property. Take into account that the Frobenius endomorphism of a ring of positive characteristic and, for any field k and any integer $t \geq 2$, the k-linear endomorphism $\varphi(x_i) = x_i^t$ of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are the prototypical examples of flat endomorphisms.

Lemma 3.4. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and $I \subset R$ an ideal of finite projective dimension. Assume that $\varphi : R \to R$ is a ring endomomorphism satisfying the going down property and $J = \varphi(I)R$. Then* $\operatorname{depth} R/I \leq \operatorname{depth} R/J$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{pd} R/I = n$. Let $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \ldots \to F_0$ be a free resolution of R/I, with maps given by matrices $A_i = (a_{pq}) : F_i \to F_{i-1}$, where p, q are integers. Applying the map φ to this free resolution, we get a complex of free modules with maps given by $\varphi(A_i) = (\varphi(a_{pq}))$. By the acyclicity criterion of Buchsbaum-Eisenbud (cf. [7, Theorem 1.4.13]), the ideal of minors of the matrices A_i namely $I_{r_i}(A_i)$ have grade $\geq i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $r_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n} (-1)^{j-i} \operatorname{rank} F_j$. But, since φ satisfies the going down property, the ideals of minors of the $\varphi(A_i)$, which are just the ideal of minors of A_i extended by φ, have enough grade too. Once again using the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud criterion one has $\operatorname{pd} R/J \leq \operatorname{pd} R/I$. Now, the assertion follows by the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring containing a field and let I be an ideal of R. Assume that $\varphi : R \to R$ is a ring endomomorphism satisfying going down property. Further assume that $\{\varphi^t(I)R\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a decreasing chain of ideals cofinal with $\{I^t\}_{t\geq 0}$. If* $\operatorname{depth} R/I = r$ *then*

$$\lambda_{i-t,i}(R/I) = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 \leq i < r \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 \leq t \leq i.$$

Proof. It is clear that composition of maps satisfying the going down property, satisfies the going down property itself. In particular, if φ has the going-down property, the so does φ^t for any positive integer t. By virtue of Lemma 3.4, one has

$$\operatorname{depth} R/I \leq \operatorname{depth} R/\varphi(I)R \leq \operatorname{depth} R/\varphi^2(I)R \leq \operatorname{depth} R/\varphi^3(I)R \leq \dots.$$

Hence, $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\varphi^t(I)R) = 0$ for all $i < r$ and all integer t. By applying inverse limit one has $0 = \varprojlim_t H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\varphi^t(I)R) \cong \varprojlim_t H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^t)$ for all $i < r$, i.e $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R) \geq \operatorname{depth} R/I$. To this end, note that the isomorphism follows from [25, Lemma 3.8]. Now we are done by Lemma 3.2. □

With the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 we see that all the entries from column 1 up to column r in the Lyubeznik table of R/I are zero.

We conclude this section with a result on the vanishing of Lyubeznik numbers using the concept of minimal reduction. A reduction J of I is minimal if it does not contain properly another reduction of I.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a complete regular local ring containing a field and let I be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of R which is generically complete intersection. Further assume that* $\operatorname{ht}(I) = l(I) - 1$ *and J is a proper minimal reduction of I. Then*

$$\lambda_{i-t,i}(R/I) = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 \leq i < r \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 \leq t \leq i,$$

where $\operatorname{depth} R/J = r$.

Proof. Once again using Lemma 3.2, it is enough to prove that $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R) \geq \operatorname{depth} R/J$. It is known that $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(J)$, thus we have $\operatorname{fgrade}(I,R) = \operatorname{fgrade}(J,R)$.

Suppose $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. If $char(k) > 0$ the result is known (cf. [11, Remark 3.1]), so we can assume $char(k) = 0$ (k is infinite). By the assumptions we have

$$\operatorname{depth} R/J = \dim R - \operatorname{ht}(J) - 1 = \dim R - l(I) \leq \dim R - \operatorname{cd}(R,I) = \operatorname{fgrade}(I,R),$$

where the first equality follows from [27, Theorem 5.3.4] and the last one is clear from (2-3). To this end note that $\operatorname{cd}(R,I) = \operatorname{cd}(R,J) \leq \mu(J) = l(I)$. □

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Josep Àlvarez Montaner and Matteo Varbaro for careful reading of the earlier draft of this paper and their useful comments make this paper readable.

References

[1] J. Àlvarez Montaner, *Lyubeznik table of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay rings.*, Comm. Alg. **43**, 3695–3704 (2015).

[2] J. Àlvarez Montaner, *Lyubeznik numbers and linear strands of Graded ideals.*, Available at arXiv:1409.6486 (2014).

[3] M. Asgharzadeh and K. Divaani-Aazar, *Finiteness properties of formal local cohomology modules and Cohen-Macaulayness.*, Comm. Alg. **39**(3), 1082–1103 (2011).

[4] M. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications.* Cambridge Univ. Press, **60**, Cambridge, (1998).

[5] M. Blickle, *Lyubezniks numbers for cohomologically isolated singularities.*, J. Algebra **308**, 118–123 (2007).

[6] M. Blickle and R. Bondu, *Local cohomology multiplicities in terms of étale cohomology.*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55**, 2239–2256 (2005).

[7] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen Macaulay rings* Cambridge Univ. Press, **39**, Cambridge, (1993).

[8] R. C. Cowsik and M. V. Nori, *Fibers of blowing up.* J. Indian Math. Soc., **40**, 217–222 (1976).

[9] H. Dao and S. Takagi, *On the relationship between depth and cohomological dimension.* arXiv:1502.06077 (2015).

[10] M. Eghbali, *On Artinianness of formal local cohomology, colocalization and coassociated primes.*, Math. Scand. **113**, 5–19 (2013).

[11] M. Eghbali, *On set theoretically and cohomologically complete intersection ideals.*, Canad. Math. Bull. **57**(3), 477–484 (2014).

[12] M. Eghbali, *On cohomological invariants of local rings in positive characteristic.*, arXiv (2015).

[13] D. Eisenbud and C. Huneke, *Cohen-Macaulay Rees Algebras and Their Specialization.* J. Algebra, **81**, 202-224 (1983).

[14] R. García López and C. Sabbah, *Topological computation of local cohomology multiplicities.* Collect. Math. **49**, 317–324 (1998).

[15] M. Hellus and P. Schenzel, *On cohomologically complete intersections.* J. Algebra **320**, 3733–3748 (2008).

[16] C. Huneke and M. Hochster, *Fine behavior of symbolic powers of ideals.* Illinois J. Math. **51**(1), 171–183 (2007).

[17] C. Huneke and R. Y. Sharp, *Bass numbers of local cohomology modules.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339**, 765–779 (1993).

[18] K. I. Kawasaki, *On the highest Lyubeznik number.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **132**(3), 409–417 (2002).

[19] A. Li and I. Swanson, *Symbolic powers of radical ideals.* Rocky mountain J. of Math. **36**(3), 997–1009 (2006).

[20] G. Lyubeznik, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D-modules to Commutative Algebra).* Invent. Math. **113**, 41–55 (1993).

[21] G. Lyubeznik, *On some local cohomology invariants of local rings.*, Math. Z. **254**, 627–640 (2006).

[22] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reductions of ideals in local rings.* Proc. Camb. phil. soc. **50**, 145–158 (1954).

[23] A. Ogus, *Local cohomological dimension of Algebraic Varieties.* Ann. of Math. , **98**(2), 327–365 (1973).

[24] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale.* Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **42**, 323–395 (1973).

[25] P. Schenzel, *On formal local cohomology and connectedness.* J. Algebra, **315**(2), 894–923 (2007).

[26] M. Varbaro, *Cohomological and projective dimensions.* Composito Math. **149**, 1203–1210 (2013).

[27] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of blowup algebras.* London Math. Soc. Lecture notes **195** (1994).

[28] W. Zhang, *On the highest Lyubeznik number of a local ring.*, Compos. Math. **143**, 82–88 (2007).

Department of Mathematics , Payame noor University, Tehran, 19395–3697, Iran.
E-mail address: khahmadi@pnu.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics , Payame noor University, Tehran, 19395–3697, Iran.
E-mail address: banisaeed.elahe@yahoo.com

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran-Iran.
E-mail address: m.eghbali@yahoo.com

Department of Pure Mathematics , Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of technology (Tehran Polytechnic), 424 Hafez Ave., 15914, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: frahmati@aut.ac.ir